

Project P.R.I.S.D.O.Q.
“Peer Reviews: Increasing Sustainable Development Of Quality”
LdV-TOI-11-IT-771 - CUP G92F1100039006

**CHECK LIST TO POINT OUT ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES IN
USING PEER REVIEW**

ADVANTAGES IN USING EUROPEAN PEER REVIEW METHODOLOGY

Starting from the experience of the Transnational Peer Reviews carried out during the P.R.I.S.D.O.Q. project, please point out your comments about the items described below.

ADVANTAGES	
1	Makes VET Providers be actively involved into quality assurance process
2	Supports VET Providers in finding new ways to quality improvement
3	Is transferable to the work-based education in SME from different sectors, e.g. nursing homes, factories, NGOs in third sector
4	Is applicable and adaptable in / to different (local / regional / national) contexts
5	Is useful and effective at transnational level
6	Is useful and manageable for Micro-VET-Providers in quality assurance
7	Encourages to use the evaluation results for continuous quality improvement
8	Makes it possible to learn from good practices and experiences of others and different VET contexts
9	Reinforces the willingness for self-evaluation as a regular practice of the VET provider
10	Improves the staff competences for self-evaluation
11	Helps to overcome stereotypical points of view, e.g. one best way to approach the quality
12	Stimulates to accept critical suggestions and assessments
13	Reduces the resistance of Micro-level-VET Providers to be externally evaluated
14	Strengthens recognition and mutual trust between VET Providers from different countries and regions (Transnationality reduces competition between VET Providers (= peers) during quality development process)
15	Strengthens recognition and mutual trust between VET Providers, Stakeholders and social partners
16	Creates a common basis to enhance a better cooperation between VET Providers
17	Encourages and facilitates mobility of educators, VET management staff, VET decision makers, trainee and students

DISADVANTAGES IN USING EUROPEAN PEER REVIEW METHODOLOGY

	DISADVANTAGES
1	Needs time and resources for preparation: writing the self-report (hosts), translation of documents, reading the report (guests) etc.
2	The efficacy is exclusively under the responsibility of the Peers directly involved (e.g.: conflict of interest, confidentiality, following procedures, absence of external third part control)
3	In absence of a peers network (both at national and European level), the dissemination of the methodology requires time and previous direct knowledge between the organizations involved
4	Different languages, different cultural backgrounds and VET systems of the Peers involved
5	High detail of tools provided by the PR Methodology
6	Absence of addressed funds for travel and staff costs

Comments

Name _____ **Role** _____

Date _____ **Signature** _____

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission.

This publication [communication] reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

