

Quality Area Assessment Form

(To be filled in by the whole Peer Team)

Please describe the results of the Peer Review in continuous text (only illustrative use of tables).

For each quality area, the description should address the criteria based on the indicators chosen to specify the quality area. Keep to the main findings, be short and concise. Special evaluation questions formulated by the VET provider should be dealt with in the pertinent quality area.

Recommendations for the assessment procedure:

1. All relevant information gleaned during the Visit (based on interview minutes, observation charts etc.) should be sorted according to its relevance 1) to the quality area and 2) the criteria. Findings should then be analysed and categorised as examples of strengths or areas for improvement. It may not always be easy to attribute findings to one of the two categories, and individual Peers may also have different views. Differences should be recognised and discussed thoroughly.
2. For each criterion, the strengths and areas of improvement should be summarised.
3. Then the whole Quality Area should be assessed on the basis of the assessment of the strengths and areas of improvement on the level of the criteria. Sources of evidence should also be recorded briefly.
4. Findings that do not fit into any of the quality areas chosen for the review can be attached pending agreement of the VET provider.
5. Recommendations can also be attached, if asked for by the VET institution.
6. After each Quality Area has been assessed in this way, it should be relatively easy to provide an overall assessment of the quality areas reviewed, to give oral feedback to the VET provider, and to fill in the Peer Review Report.

1. Quality area 1: (indicate which)

1.1. Criterion 1: (indicate which)

Strengths and areas of improvement by criterion
(if possible with reference to the indicators, and indicating the sources)

1.2. Criterion 2: (indicate which)

Strengths and areas of improvement by criterion
(if possible with reference to the indicators, and indicating the sources)

1.3. Criterion 3 etc: (indicate which)

Strengths and areas of improvement by criterion
(if possible with reference to the indicators, and indicating the sources)

1.4. Overall assessment of the quality area

(Provide a verbal assessment of strengths and areas of improvements on the basis of the key evidence found on the levels of criteria and indicators. Sources of evidence should also be recorded briefly.)

2. Quality area 2: (indicate which)

etc.